

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandris, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/520,756	07/13/2005	. Leandre Adifon	60469-193; OT-5003	5071
26584 7590 OTIS ELEVATOR C	01/19/2007 COMPANY	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 10 FARM SPRINGS FARMINGTON, CT 06032			PICO, ERIC E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO	OD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 01/19/2007		01/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Office Auto 0	10/520,756	ADIFON ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Eric Pico	3654		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D THOUGH STATE AND THE MAILING D SWEDT STATE AND THE MAILING D SWEDT SWEDT STATE AND THE MAILING D POINT OF THE MAILING D SWEDT SWED SWEDT SWEDT SWEDT SWEDT SWEDT SWEDT SWED SWEDT SWEDT SWED SWEDT SWEDT SWEDT SWEDT SWEDT SWEDT SWEDT SWEDT SWED SWEDT SWED SWEDT	ATE OF THIS COMMUN (36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDANED (25 U.S.C. 5.132)		
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 N	ovember 2006.			
		action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 19-45 is/are pending in the application	n.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 19-45 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ acc		by the Eveniner		
الارادا	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	dammer. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form P10-132.		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau				
	on the attached detailed Office estion for a list	of the codifical continuous			
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.		
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies no	n received.		
		or the certified copies no	n received.		
Attachmen		_			
Attachmeni 1) Notic 2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date		
Attachmen 1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTC-892)	4) ☐ Interview Paper No	Summary (PTO-413)		

Application/Control Number: 10/520,756 Page 2

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Drawinas

 The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the second access providing access inside the cover through a larger dimension claimed in claim 45 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,756 Page 3

Art Unit: 3654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 4. Support for a second access providing access inside the cover through a larger dimension claimed in claim 45 is not found in Figure 3, page 4, lines 5-10, and page 5, lines 21-24, of Applicant's specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claim(s) 19, 21, 22, 33, and 37-40 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Toshiyuki et al. JP Publication No. 2000-177949.

7.

Page 4 Art Unit: 3654

Regarding claim 19, Toshiyuki et al. discloses an elevator system comprising a

cab 4 adapted to carry a load between different levels of a building, a machine

assembly 14 secured to a roof surface 8 on the building and having a drive sheave that

causes movement of an elongated tension member 11 such that the cab 4 moves as

desired and a motor that moves the drive sheave, and a cover 20 removably secured

over the machine assembly 14 to cover over the machine assembly 14; and a support

base, comprised of elements 8A and 22, that is distinct from and secured to the roof

surface 8, the support base supports the machine assembly 14, the cover 20 being

directly secured to and selectively removable from the support base.

8 Regarding claim 21. Toshiyuki et al. discloses the support base 22 includes a

first generally planar surface with a plurality of side portions 8A extending away from the

surface 8 and wherein the cover 20 includes a corresponding plurality of side walls that are received against the side portions 8A when the cover 20 is secured to the support

base 22.

Regarding claim 22, Toshiyuki et al. discloses the side portions 8A are exterior 9.

to the side walls when the cover 20 is secure to the support base 22, shown in Figure 2.

Regarding claim 33, Toshiyuki et al. discloses an assembly for housing elevator 10.

system machine 14 components on top of a roof surface 8 of a building without

requiring a machine room, comprising a support base, comprised of elements 8A and

22. that is distinct from and adapted to be secured to the roof surface 8, the support

base 22 supporting the machine components 14, and a cover 20 that is selectively

Art Unit: 3654

base 22

secured to the support base 22 to cover the machine components 14 supported on the

Page 5

- 11. **Regarding claim 37**, Toshiyuki et al. discloses the support base 22 includes a first generally planar surface with a plurality of side portions 8A extending away from the surface and wherein the cover 20 includes a corresponding plurality of side walls that are received against the side portions 8A when the cover 20 is secured to the support hase 22
- 12. Regarding claim 38, Toshiyuki et al. discloses the side portions 8A are exterior to the side walls when the cover 20 is secured to the support base 22.
- 13. Regarding claim 40, Toshiyuki et al. discloses the machine 14 comprises a motor and a drive sheave that rotates responsive to the motor to move a tension member 11 in a manner that causes a desired elevator cab 4 movement.
- 14. **Regarding claim 44**, Toshiyuki et al. discloses the support base comprises a single piece 8A of material that establishes the first generally planar surface and the plurality of side portions.8A.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3654

16. Claim(s) 23, 26, 35, 39, and 43 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toshiyuki et al. JP Publication No. 2000-177949.

- Regarding claim 23, Toshiyuki et al. discloses an controller, referred to as control panel 21, that controls the motor 14 supported beneath the cover 20.
- 18. Toshiyuki et al. is silent concerning the controller to be an electronic controller.
- 19. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary in the art at the time of the invention was made to make the controller disclosed by Toshiyuki et al. an electronic controller to easily control the motor.
- Regarding claim 26, 35, and 43, Toshiyuki et al. discloses the cover has a top surface and a plurality of side walls extending away from edges of the top surface.
- 21. Toshiyuki et al. is silent concerning the height of the cover from the roof surface is less than one meter when the cover is secured in place.
- 22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary in the art at the time of the invention was made to make the cover with a height that is less than one meter, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ (CCPA 1980).
- 23. Regarding claim 39, Toshiyuki et al. discloses the cover 20 is removable.
- Toshiyuki et al. is silent concerning the entire cover being removable.
- 25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary in the art at the time of the invention was made to make the entire cover being removable to facilitate easier access to the motor and other components under the cover.

Art Unit: 3654

 Claim(s) 24, 25, and 34 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toshiyuki et al. JP Publication No. 2000-177949 in view of Chida et al. U.S. Patent No. 6405834.

- 27. Regarding claim 24 and 34, Toshiyuki et al. is silent concerning the cover includes an access opening through the cover and a cover portion that selectively closes off the access opening.
- 28. Chida et al. teaches a cover, referred to maintenance operation panel 10, includes an access opening through the cover 10 and a cover portion, referred to as face plate 11, that selectively closes off the access opening.
- 29. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include an access opening and a cover portion as taught by Chida et al. to the cover disclosed by Toshiyuki et al. to facilitate access to equipment within the cover.
- 30. Regarding claim 25, Toshiyuki et al. is silent concerning the cover includes a portion that is moveable relative to another portion of the cover to provide access to at least some of the machine assembly.
- 31. Chida et al. teaches a cover 10 includes a portion 11 that is moveable relative to another portion of the cover 10 to provide access to a maintenance driving control apparatus.
- 32. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a portion as taught by Chida et al. that is moveable relative to another portion of the cover disclosed by Toshiyuki et al. to facilitate access to equipment within the cover.

Art Unit: 3654

33. Regarding claim 45, Toshiyuki et al. discloses an assembly for housing elevator system machine 14 components on top of a roof surface 8 of a building without requiring a machine room, comprising: a support base, comprised of elements 8A and 22, that is distinct from and adapted to be secured to the roof surface 8, the support base supporting the machine components; and a cover 20 that is selectively secured to the support base to cover the machine components supported on the base.

- 34. Toshiyuki et al. is silent concerning the cover having a first access in a sidewall of the cover, the first access providing access inside the cover through an opening of a first dimension, the cover having a second access through a portion of a top of the cover, the second access providing access inside the cover through an opening of a second, larger dimension.
- 35. Chida et al. teaches a cover 10 having a first access 11 in a sidewall of the cover 10, the first access 11 providing access inside the cover 10 through an opening of a first dimension
- 36. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have an access as taught by Chida et al. in the sidewall of the cover disclosed by Toshiyuki et al., to provide access inside the cover through an opening.
- 37. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide a second access, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.
 St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Art Unit: 3654

38. Claim(s) 27 and 36 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toshiyuki et al. JP Publication No. 2000-177949 in view of Semple U.S. Patent No.

Page 9

5271455.

39. Regarding claim 27 and 36, Toshiyuki et al. is silent concerning a temperature control device associated with the machine assembly and support base for controlling the temperature within the space covered by the cover.

40. Semple teaches a temperature control device.

41. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to associate the machine assembly and support base disclosed by Toshiyuki et al. with a temperature control device as taught by Semple to keep the elevator equipment at their operating temperatures thus reducing failure.

42. Claim(s) 28 and 42 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toshiyuki et al. JP Publication No. 2000-177949 in view of Jones et al. U.S. Patent No. 6305499.

- Regarding claim 28 and 42, Toshiyuki et al. is silent concerning tension members comprises a flat belt.
- 44. Jones et al. teaches tension members comprise a flat belt 16.
- 45. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the tension members disclosed by Toshiyuki et al. flat belts as taught by Jones et al. to facilitate traction between the tension member and sheave.
- Claim(s) 29 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Toshiyuki et al. JP Publication No. 2000-177949 in view of Jones et al. U.S. Patent No.

Art Unit: 3654

6305499 as applied to claim 28 above, and further in view of Kihachiro JP Publication No. 07-097157

- Regarding claim 29, Toshiyuki et al. discloses a tension member 11 and a plurality of terminations 13, 15 supporting the ends 12, 16 of the tension member.
- 48. Toshiyuki et al. is silent concerning a plurality of flat belts and a plurality of terminations supporting the ends of the belts, the terminations being covered by the cover
- Jones et al. teaches a flat belt 16 and terminations supporting the ends of the belts 16.
- 50. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the tension members disclosed by Toshiyuki et al. flat belts as taught by Jones et al. to facilitate traction between the tension member and sheave.
- 51. Kihachiro teaches a termination being covered over by a cover 3.
- 52. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to fix the terminations disclosed by Toshiyuki et al. under a cover as taught Kihachiro to fix the terminations to the roof while protecting the terminations from damage.
- 53. Claim(s) 30-32 and 41 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toshiyuki et al. JP Publication No. 2000-177949 in view of Kihachiro JP Publication No. 07-097157.
- 54. **Regarding claim 30**, Toshiyuki et al. discloses a termination 13, 15 supporting the ends 12, 16 of the tension member 11.

Art Unit: 3654

55. Toshiyuki et al. is silent concerning terminations the cover covers the termination.

- 56. Kihachiro teaches a termination, shown in Figures 1 and 5, supporting an end of
- a tension member 13 and wherein a cover 3 covers the termination.
- 57. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to fix the terminations disclosed by Toshiyuki et al. under a cover as taught Kihachiro to fix the terminations to the roof while protecting the terminations from damage.
- 58. Regarding claim 31, Toshiyuki et al. discloses a plurality of terminations 13, 15.
- 59. Toshiyuki et al. is silent concerning a plurality of tension members and a plurality of terminations with each termination being covered by the cover.
- 60. Kihachiro teaches tension members 13 a termination, shown in Figures 1 and 5, with the termination being covered by a cover 3.
- 61. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide a plurality of tension members and a plurality of terminations to facilitate lifting of the elevator car
- Regarding claim 32, Toshiyuki et al. is silent concerning the cover having a height of less than one meter.
- 63. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary in the art at the time of the invention was made to make the cover with a height of less than one meter because a one meter cover would be sufficiently large to cover most commonly sized hoisting machines. Further, it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result

Application/Control Number: 10/520,756 Page 12

Art Unit: 3654

effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ (CCPA 1980).

64. **Regarding claim 41**, Toshiyuki et al. discloses terminations 12, 16 that support the ends 13, 15 of the tension members 11.

- 65. Toshiyuki et al. is silent concerning the termination is at least partially contained between the support base and the cover.
- Kihachiro teaches a termination contained between a support base and a cover
- 67. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to fix the terminations disclosed by Toshiyuki et al. under a cover as taught Kihachiro to fix the terminations to the roof while protecting the terminations from damage.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 11/03/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 69. In response to applicant's argument "Examiner's interpretation of the projecting walls 8A as being part of the plate 22 (i.e. support base) is unreasonable because it is inconsistent with what is actually shown in Fig. 2 of Toshiyuki" a support base can be broadly interpreted as being comprised of both projecting walls 8A as well as plate 22.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3654

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Pico whose telephone number is 571-272-5589.

The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30AM - 3:00PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki can be reached on 571-272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EEP

PATRICK MACKEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600